SINGLE BENCH 4

CF 1002

(2)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 5819 /2006

PETITIONER : **O. P. Ogre,** Age about 45 years,
S/o Shri Narayan Prasad Ogre,
U.D.T., Govt. Middle School, Gumiyan,
Block - Kartala, Distt. - Korba (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5819/06
Presented by Shri Ajay Shrivastava
Advocate 19/10/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
Through :- **Secretary,**
Tribal Welfare Department & Education
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. **Commissioner**
Tribal Welfare Department,
Korba (C.G.)

3. **District Education Officer**
I.T.I., Korba (C.G.)

4. **Block Education Officer**
Tahsil - Kartala, Distt. - Korba (C.G.)

5. **Joint Director (Funds / Accounts),**
Nutan Chowk, Sarkanda, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
18/X/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

COMMISSIONER
APARNA
MALVIYA
CG-2078/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

25

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 5819 of 2006

Petitioner : O. P. Ogre

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri.**

Shri Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri Sumit Verma, Panel lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(27th October, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Upper Division Teacher vide order dated 30.6.1993 (Annexure P/1) in the pay scale of Rs. 1400 – 2640. It is further submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that for the first two years the petitioner was paid only Rs. 1400/- p.m. though he was entitled to get monthly payment on the basis of regular pay scale during that period.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner placed reliance on a decision of the Madhya Pradesh State Administrative Tribunal passed on 24.8.1992 in O.A.No. 2745 of 89 (Madhukant Yadu and 56 others Vs. State of M.P. & others) and also on a decision passed on 18.2.2000 in O.A.No. 3006/1993 (Utam Kumar Banjari and others Vs. State of M.P. & others). In that case the petitioners were appointed on the post of Upper Division Teacher in the pay scale of Rs. 1400-2640 vide order dated 29.3.1992 but subsequently the Joint Director, Public Instructions, Hoshangabad passed the order that instead of payment of salary in the regular scale of pay of

Rs. 1400-2640 the petitioners would be paid only consolidated fixed sum of Rs. 800/- for three years and only after three years, they would be given salary in the regular scale of pay. Learned Tribunal quashed the order passed by the Joint Director and directed the respondents to make payment of salary in the regular scale of pay of Rs. 1400-2640 along with all applicable allowances from the date of appointment of the petitioners.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the question of law and the facts involved in this petition are too similar to that of in the cases cited above. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents fairly concedes and agrees with the submission made by the petitioner.

4. For the above stated reasons the petitioner is granted four weeks time to make a representation before the competent authorities to consider the case of the petitioner in the same terms as per the order dated 18.2.2000 passed in O.A.No. 3006/1993 and the order dated 24.8.1992 passed in O.A.No. 2745/1989. In the event the petitioner stands on the same position, the petitioner is entitled to the same relief.

5. For the reasons stated above, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur